

## COMP523 Tutorial 8 - Solutions

Coordinator: Aris Filos-Ratsikas

Demonstrator: Michail Theofilatos

December 27, 2019

### Problem 1

Kleinberg and Tardos - Algorithm Design, Chapter 11, Solved Exercise 1.

#### Solution

See solution in the book, Kleinberg and Tardos - Algorithm Design, Chapter 11, Solved Exercise 1.

### Problem 2

Prove that the optimal solutions to the LP-relaxation of the Vertex Cover ILP are half-integral, meaning that they take values in  $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ .

#### Solution

First, we remark that for a solution to be optimal, it can not be a convex combination of two other feasible solutions, where a convex combination is a sum weighted by probabilities, i.e., if  $x = \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2$ , then  $x$  is a convex combination of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . This follows from the fact that, if that was the case, then we could take the probability mass from the solution that has a larger value and move it to the solution that has a smaller value, obtaining a feasible solution with smaller overall value, contradicting the optimality of  $x$ .

We will now argue that if a solution to the Vertex Cover ILP is not half-integral, then it can be expressed as a convex combination of two feasible solutions; from the discussion above, this implies that it can not be optimal. Consider the set of vertices for which the solution  $x$  does not assign a half-integral value, and partition them into two sets  $V_+$  and  $V_-$  such that:

$$V_+ = \left\{ v : \frac{1}{2} < x_v < 1 \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad V_- = \left\{ v : 0 < x_v < \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , defined the following two solutions:

$$y_v = \begin{cases} x_v + \varepsilon, & x_v \in V_+ \\ x_v - \varepsilon, & x_v \in V_- \\ x_v, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad z_v = \begin{cases} x_v - \varepsilon, & x_v \in V_+ \\ x_v + \varepsilon, & x_v \in V_- \\ x_v, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By assumption, we have that  $V_+ \cup V_- \neq \emptyset$  and so  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  are all distinct. Additionally, it is not hard to see that  $x = \frac{1}{2}(y + z)$  and therefore  $x$  is a convex combination of  $y$  and  $z$ . It remains to show that  $y$  and  $z$  are feasible solutions to the Vertex Cover LP-relaxation; we will achieve that via an appropriate choice of  $\varepsilon$ .

First, it is easy to ensure that  $y_v, z_v \geq 0$ ; since  $x_v > 0$ , we can choose  $\varepsilon$  small enough to ensure that this holds. Next, consider the constraints  $x_u + x_v \geq 1$  of the Vertex Cover LP-relaxation. Suppose that  $x_u + x_v > 1$ . Then again, by choosing  $\varepsilon$  to be small enough, we can ensure that  $y_u + y_v \geq 1$  and  $z_u + z_v \geq 1$ . Finally, consider any edge for which  $x_u + x_v = 1$ . There, there are only three possibilities: either (a)

$x_u = x_v = \frac{1}{2}$ , (b)  $x_u = 0, x_v = 1$  (the case  $x_u = 1, x_v = 0$  is completely symmetric) and (c)  $u \in V_+, v \in V_-$  (the case  $u \in V_-, v \in V_+$  is completely symmetric). In all three cases, for any choice of  $\varepsilon$ , we have that

$$x_u + x_v = y_u + y_v = z_u + z_v = 1.$$

This completes the proof.